

If changes should be made, then let the Congress do it in the proper way.

We must have a national policy and that policy must be spelled out in the law and enforced by the proper authorities.

PERMISSION FOR SUBCOMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, RESEARCH, AND TECHNOLOGY OF COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY TO SIT ON MAY 14 AND 15, 1980, DURING 5-MINUTE RULE

Mr. BROWN of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Subcommittee on Science, Research, and Technology of the Committee on Science and Technology may be permitted to sit on Wednesday and Thursday of this week, May 14 and 15, 1980, despite the fact that the House may be in session under the 5-minute rule.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

PERMISSION FOR ALL COMMITTEES TO HAVE UNTIL 12 NOON, FRIDAY, MAY 16, 1980, TO FILE REPORTS

Mr. WRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all committees may have until 12 o'clock noon on Friday, May 16, 1980, to file reports, and that reports filed by that time be considered to have been filed within the time permitted by section 402(a) of the Congressional Budget Act, with respect to fiscal 1981 authorizations.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

□ 1210

Mr. BAUMAN. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, could the gentleman tell us how many bills may fall into this category? Are there a great number? I know in the past the Rules Committee has on each bill waived the filing deadline as the rule was granted.

Mr. WRIGHT. If the gentleman would yield, I am not sure that I have an outside number. I honestly do not know how many would be affected by this. I do know that there are bills in the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce which are going to have to be hurriedly prepared and put together if we do not give them this extra 12 hours. Their staff has been burdened with a lot of activity with two conference committees, among other things, and it is largely at their request that we have sought this unanimous consent.

Mr. BAUMAN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman, and I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

PROPOSAL TO RESCIND \$9.0 THOUSAND IN BUDGET AUTHORITY PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED BY CONGRESS AND TWO NEW DEFERRALS OF BUDGET AUTHORITY TOTALING \$19.8 MILLION—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 96-311)

The SPEAKER laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed:

(For message, see proceedings of the Senate of today, May 13, 1980.)

PERMISSION FOR CONFERENCE REPORT ON S. 1309 TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR CONSIDERATION ON MAY 15, 1980, NOTWITHSTANDING ANY RULE OF THE HOUSE

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the Committee on Agriculture files the conference report on the Senate bill, S. 1309, it be eligible for consideration by the House on Thursday, May 15, 1980, notwithstanding any rule of the House.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

Mr. BAUMAN. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, does the gentleman also include in his request the waiver of any and all points of order that might be made against the conference report?

Mr. FOLEY. Yes; if the gentleman will yield, my last statement was regardless of any rule of the House to the contrary, in effect waiving any points of order against consideration of the conference report at that time, respecting the 3-day rule, not with respect to any other point of order.

Mr. BAUMAN. That was my question. The gentleman seeks to waive only the 3-day rule?

Mr. FOLEY. Yes.

Mr. BAUMAN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman, and I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3, rule XXVII, the Chair will now put the question on each motion to suspend the rules on which further proceedings were postponed on Monday, May 12, 1980, in the order in which that motion was entertained.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

House Concurrent Resolution 318 and H.R. 6616.

The Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the time for any electronic vote after the first such vote in this series.

DISAPPROVAL OF REGULATIONS FOR EDUCATION APPEAL BOARD

The SPEAKER. The unfinished business is the question of suspending the rules and agreeing to the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 318).

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. PERKINS) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 318).

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

OCEAN DUMPING AUTHORIZATION, FISCAL YEAR 1981

The SPEAKER. The unfinished business is the question of suspending the rules and passing the bill, H.R. 6616, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. MURPHY) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 6616, as amended.

The question was taken.

Mr. MCKINNEY. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 372, nays 24, not voting 36, as follows:

[Roll No. 229]

YEAS—372

Abdnor	Boner	Daniel, Dan
Addabbo	Bonior	Daniel, E. W.
Akaka	Bonker	Danielson
Alexander	Bouquard	Dannemeyer
Ambro	Bowen	Daschle
Anderson,	Brademas	Davis, Mich.
Calif.	Braux	Davis, S.C.
Andrews,	Brinkley	de la Garza
N. Dak.	Brodhead	Deckard
Annunzio	Brooks	Dellums
Applegate	Broomfield	Derrick
Archer	Brown, Calif.	Derwinski
Ashbrook	Brown, Ohio	Devine
Ashley	Broyhill	Dickinson
Aspin	Buchanan	Dicks
Atkinson	Burgener	Dingell
AuCoin	Eurlison	Donnelly
Badham	Burton, John	Dorman
Bafalis	Butler	Downey
Bailley	Byron	Duncan, Oreg.
Baldus	Carney	Duncan, Tenn.
Barnes	Carr	Early
Bauman	Carter	Eckhardt
Beard, R.I.	Chappell	Edgar
Beard, Tenn.	Cheney	Edwards, Ala.
Bedell	Chisholm	Edwards, Calif.
Beilenson	Clinger	Edwards, Okla.
Benjamin	Coleman	Emery
Bennett	Collins, Ill.	English
Beruter	Conable	Erdahl
Bethune	Conte	Erlenborn
Bevill	Corcoran	Evans, Del.
Bingham	Corman	Evans, Ga.
Blanchard	Coughlin	Fary
Boggs	Courter	Fascell
Boland	D'Amours	Fazio
Bolling		Fenwick

Ferraro
Flindley
Fish
Fisher
Fithian
Flippo
Florio
Foley
Ford, Tenn.
Forsythe
Fountain
Fowler
Frenzel
Frost
Fuqua
Garcla
Gaydos
Gephardt
Gibbons
Gilman
Gingrich
Ginn
Glickman
Goldwater
Gonzalez
Goodling
Gore
Gradison
Gramm
Grassley
Gray
Green
Grisham
Guarini
Gudger
Guyer
Hagedorn
Hall, Ohio
Hall, Tex.
Hamilton
Hammer-
schmidt
Hance
Hanley
Hansen
Harkin
Harris
Harsha
Hawkins
Heckler
Hefner
Heftel
Hightower
Hills
Hinson
Holt
Holtzman
Hopkins
Horton
Hubbard
Huckaby
Hughes
Hutto
Hyde
Inard
Ireland
Jeffords
Jeffries
Jenkins
Johnson, Calif.
Johnson, Colo.
Jones, N.C.
Jones, Tenn.
Kastenmeier
Kazen
Kelly
Kemp
Kildee
Kindness
Kogovsek
Kostmayer
Kramer
LaFalce
Lagomarsino
Latta
Leach, Iowa
Leach, La.
Lederer
Lehman

Lent
Lewis
Livingston
Lloyd
Loeffler
Long, La.
Long, Md.
Lott
Lowry
Lujan
Luken
Lundine
Lungren
McCleary
McCloskey
McCormack
McDade
McEwen
McHugh
McKay
Madigan
Maguire
Markey
Marks
Marlenee
Marriott
Martin
Mathis
Matsui
Mattox
Mavroules
Mazzoli
Mica
Michel
Mikulski
Miller, Calif.
Miller, Ohio
Mineta
Minish
Mitchell, Md.
Mitchell, N.Y.
Morkley
Mollohan
Montgomery
Moore
Moorhead,
Calif.
Moorhead, Pa.
Motti
Murphy, Ill.
Murphy, N.Y.
Murphy, Pa.
Murtha
Musto
Myers Ind.
Myers, Pa.
Natcher
Neal
Nedzi
Nelson
Nichols
Nolan
Nowak
O'Brien
Oaker
Oberstar
Olliver
Oltinger
Panetta
Pashayan
Patten
Patterson
Pease
Pepper
Perkins
Petri
Peyser
Porter
Preyer
Price
Pritchard
Quillen
Rahall
Rallsback
Rangel
Regula
Reuss
Rhodes
Richmond
Rinaldo

Ritter
Robinson
Roe
Rosenthal
Rostenkowski
Roth
Rousselot
Roybal
Royer
Runnels
Russo
Sabo
Santini
Satterfield
Scheuer
Schroeder
Schulze
Sebelius
Seiberling
Sensenbrenner
Shannon
Sharp
Shelby
Shumway
Shuster
Simon
Smith, Iowa
Smith, Nebr.
Snowe
Snyder
Solazar
Solomon
Spelman
Spence
St Germain
Stack
Staggers
Stangeland
Stanton
Stark
Stenholm
Stewart
Stockman
Stratton
Studds
Swift
Synar
Tauke
Taylor
Thomas
Thompson
Traxler
Trible
Udall
Ullman
Van Deerin
Vander Jagt
Vanik
Vento
Walgren
Walker
Wampler
Waxman
Weiss
White
Whitehurst
Whitley
Whittaker
Whitten
Williams, Mont.
Williams, Ohio
Wilson, Bob
Wilson, Tex.
Winn
Wirth
Wolff
Wolpe
Wright
Wylie
Yates
Yatron
Young, Alaska
Young, Fla.
Young, Mo.
Zablocki
Zeferetti

NOT VOTING—36

Anderson, Ill.
Andrews, N.C.
Anthony
Biaggi
Burton, Phillip
Campbell
Cavanaugh
Cleveland
Coelho
Diggs
Dixon
Dougherty
Drinan
Ertel
Glamo
Holland
Hollenbeck
Howard
Jenrette
Jones, Okla.
Lee
Leland
Levitas
McDonald
Pickle
Pursell
Quayle
Rodino
Rose
Skelton
Steed
Watkins
Weaver
Wilson, C. H.
Wyatt
Wydler

□ 1230

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

On this vote:
Mr. Howard and Mr. Charles H. Wilson of California for, with Mr. Glaimo against.
Mr. Biaggi and Mr. Rodino for, with Mr. McDonald against.

Until further notice:
Mr. Rose with Mr. Anderson of Illinois.
Mr. Pickle with Mr. Lee.
Mr. Weaver with Mr. Wyatt.
Mr. Jenrette with Mr. Dougherty.
Mr. Jones of Oklahoma with Mr. Cleveland.
Mr. Coelho with Mr. Andrews of North Carolina.
Mr. Phillip Burton with Mr. Campbell.
Mr. Anthony with Mr. Holland.
Mr. Drinan with Mr. Quayle.
Mr. Ertel with Mr. Pursell.
Mr. Steed with Mr. Wydler.
Mr. Levitas with Mr. Hollenbeck.
Mr. Skelton with Mr. Dixon.
Mr. Watkins with Mr. Cavanaugh.
Mr. Diggs with Mr. Leland.

Mr. BARNARD and Mr. SYMMS changed their votes from "yea" to "nay."
Mr. DELLUMS changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES ON HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 307, FIRST CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET—FISCAL YEAR 1981

Mr. WRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 307) setting forth the congressional budget for the U.S. Government for the fiscal years 1981, 1982, and 1983 and revising the congressional budget for the U.S. Government for the fiscal year 1980, with a Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the Senate amendment, and request a conference with the Senate thereon.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MURTHA). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

Mr. ROUSSELOT. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, can the gentleman explain what we are doing?

Mr. WRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ROUSSELOT. I am glad to yield to the gentleman.

Mr. WRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, this is a routine request simply disagreeing with the Senate amendments to the budget

resolution and agreeing to a conference with the other body so the differences can be reconciled.

Mr. BAUMAN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ROUSSELOT. Further reserving the right to object, I yield to my colleague from Maryland.

Mr. BAUMAN. Mr. Speaker, I have been informed that the other body in dealing with the budget resolution changed the date by which reconciliation will be required to August the 28th rather than the later date in September the Budget Act requires. Since this is not consistent with our action here in the House, and I was told the purpose was to allow an extra month for campaigning for Members of the other body who are up for reelection, I am just wondering what the House position might be on this matter when we go to conference. I certainly want to accommodate our colleagues who feel that they are in jeopardy in the other body but, on the other hand, it would be nice to know if that is the case.

Mr. WRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ROUSSELOT. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. WRIGHT. The gentleman from Maryland's compassion is well known in that regard. While I cannot speak for my other conferees, if I were to be a conferee, nor for those who would be if I were not, I think I could point out also that there are a number of other things in the Senate resolution that are inconsistent with the action of the House. I would presume it would be the position of the Members of the House in the conference to uphold, insofar as they could, the position of the House, well knowing we have to yield occasionally in order to get some agreement.

Mr. ROUSSELOT. Mr. Speaker, further reserving the right to object, the gentleman can assure us that this proposal is only to go to conference and to name conferees, and there is nothing else included in the proposal? It was difficult to hear anything being read by the reading clerk.

Mr. WRIGHT. The gentleman is entitled to that assurance. Precisely I would give him that assurance. All that is included in my request is simply that we take from the Speaker's table the concurrent resolution, which is our budget resolution, and that we disagree to the amendment of the Senate, and that we agree to a conference with the Senate on the disagreeing votes. That is the request I make. It has no other caveats nor provisions whatsoever.

Mr. ROUSSELOT. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas? The Chair hears none and, without objection, appoints the following conferees: MESSRS. GLAIMO, WRIGHT, ASKLEY, SIMON, MINETA, JONES of Oklahoma, BRODHEAD, WIRTH, PANETTA, GEPHARDT, NELSON, LATTA, and CONABLE, Mrs. HOLT, Mr. REGULA, and Mr. RUDD.

There was no objection.

NAYS—24
Albosta
Barnard
Clay
Collins, Tex.
Conyers
Cotler
Crane, Daniel
Crane, Phillip
Dodd
Evans, Ind.
Ford, Mich.
Jacobs
Leath, Tex.
McKinney
Moffett
Obey
Paul
Ratchford
Roberts
Rudd
Stokes
Stump
Symms
Volkmer

OCEAN DUMPING AUTHORIZATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1981

Mr. MURPHY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the Senate bill (S. 1148) to reauthorize title I of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act, and for other purposes, a similar bill to H.R. 6616, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the Senate bill, as follows:

S. 1148

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. Section 111 of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act is amended by striking "and" following "1977," and inserting "not to exceed \$1,232,000 for each of fiscal year 1980, fiscal year 1981, and fiscal year 1982," immediately after "1978."

Sec. 2. Title II of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new section:

"Sec. 205. The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency is authorized to conduct a study to assist the city of New York in evaluating the technological options available for the removal of heavy metals and other toxic organic materials from the sewage sludge of the city of New York. The study shall also examine options available to reduce the amount of such pollutants entering the sewage system. The study is to be completed by July 1, 1980."

MOTION OFFERED BY MR. MURPHY OF
NEW YORK

Mr. MURPHY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. MURPHY of New York moves to strike out all after the enacting clause of the Senate bill, S. 1148 and insert in lieu thereof the provisions of sections 1, 7, 8, and 9 of H.R. 6616, as passed by the House.

The motion was agreed to.

The Senate bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed.

OCEAN DUMPING AUTHORIZATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1981

Mr. MURPHY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the Senate bill (S. 1123) to amend section 204 of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 to authorize appropriations for title II of such Act for fiscal year 1980, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the Senate bill, as follows:

S. 1123

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 204 of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 as amended (33 U.S.C. 1444), is further amended by (1) deleting the word "and" after the date "1977"; (2) deleting the period after the date "1978" and inserting in lieu thereof a comma; and (3) adding at the end thereof the following: "and not to exceed \$9,500,000 for the fiscal year 1980."

MOTION OFFERED BY MR. MURPHY OF NEW YORK

Mr. MURPHY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. MURPHY of New York moves to strike out all after the enacting clause of the Senate bill, S. 1123, an insert in lieu thereof the provisions of sections 2 and 3 of H.R. 6616, as passed by the House.

The motion was agreed to.

The Senate bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed.

□ 1240

OCEAN DUMPING AUTHORIZATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1981

Mr. MURPHY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the Senate bill (S. 1140) to amend title III of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended, to authorize appropriations for such title for fiscal years 1980 and 1981, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the Senate bill, as follows:

S. 1140

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 304 of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1434) is amended—

(1) by striking out "and" immediately after "fiscal year 1977," and

(2) by adding immediately after "fiscal year 1978" the following: "not to exceed \$3,000,000 for fiscal year 1980, and not to exceed \$4,000,000 for fiscal year 1981."

Sec. 2. Section 302(a) of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1432(a)) is amended by inserting immediately after the term "ecological," the word "scientific."

MOTION OFFERED BY MR. MURPHY OF NEW YORK

Mr. MURPHY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. MURPHY of New York moves to strike out all after the enacting clause of the Senate bill, S. 1140, and insert in lieu thereof the provisions of sections 4, 5, and 6 of H.R. 6616, as passed by the House.

The motion was agreed to.

The Senate bill was offered to be read a third time, was read the third time and passed.

A motion to reconsider the votes by which action was taken on the several motions was laid on the table.

A similar House bill, H.R. 6616, was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MURPHY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the Senate bills just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

AUTHORIZING CLERK TO CORRECT SECTION NUMBERS IN ENGRESS- MENT OF HOUSE AMENDMENTS TO S. 1148, S. 1123, AND S. 1140

Mr. MURPHY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Clerk be authorized to correct section numbers in the engrossment of the House amendments to the Senate bills S. 1148, S. 1123, and S. 1140.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON INTERSTATE AND FOREIGN COM- MERCE TO SIT TODAY DURING 5-MINUTE RULE

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce be permitted to sit this afternoon during debate under the 5-minute rule for purposes of markup.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

Mr. ROUSSELOT. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, will the gentleman explain to us why this is necessary?

Mr. DINGELL. If the gentleman will yield, it is because we have a backlog of legislation in the committee and we want to consider the standby fuel efficiency legislation which came out with only one dissenting vote from the Subcommittee on Energy and Power, with the support of the minority.

Mr. ROUSSELOT. Reserving the right to object, is the gentleman marking up only one bill?

Mr. DINGELL. That is the only bill on which I make the unanimous-consent request.

Mr. ROUSSELOT. So the gentleman is only asking for one bill?

Mr. DINGELL. I ask unanimous consent on only one piece of legislation.

Mr. ROUSSELOT. I thank the gentleman.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.